Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/679,767	SMITH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael C. Miggins	1772	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) Michael C. Miggins.	(3)		
(2) Greg Croft.	(4)	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>31 May 2005</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none			
Claims discussed: 1, 7, 12, 18, 23 and 29			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Examiner's amendment approved.	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview	
		٠ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Application No.

Applicant(s)